

#9

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/889,079		GERRAND ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Julie K Smith		3682	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Julie K Smith.

(3) Bill Hanlon.

(2) David Fenstermacher.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 5/8/03

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All (12-23)

Identification of prior art discussed: Adams, Adam et. Al, Kuribara et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

DAVID FENSTERMACHER  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 5/8/03

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

### Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

#### Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

#### 37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiner's Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,  
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

### Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant addressed the issue of the gasket of Adams being able to conduct electricity. Although Adams ~~does not~~ is not intended to conduct electricity, metal inherently conducts electricity, regardless of the environment. Applicant will respond in due time with After Final Amendment, Examiner will reconsider response.

DAVID FENSTERMACHER  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Listing of claims:

12. (Previously Amended) A motor vehicle wiper gear motor comprising:

a core motor attached to a reduction gear base, the core and base having metal mating flanges with outer peripheries protruding away from the core and the base, respectively; and

a joint interposed between the core and the base, the joint including a sealing material and at least one metal element carried by the sealing material in electrically conductive contact with metal parts of the core and the base, the at least one metal element [operable] to conduct electrical current between the core and the base to maintain a uniform electric potential in the core and the base.

13. (Previously Amended) The gear motor according to claim 12 further comprising:

a fixation orifice adjoining the metal element.

14. (Previously Amended) The gear motor according to claim 12, wherein the metal element is embedded in the sealing material.

15. (Previously Amended) The gear motor according to claim 12 further comprising:

two metal elements, being disjointed from one another.

16. (Previously Amended) The gear motor according to claim 12 further comprising:

definitive fixation means for the joint to the core motor and temporary fixation means for the joint to one of the core and the base, the temporary fixation means includes a wall extending substantially orthogonally from an edge of the joint

and that externally surrounds the outer periphery of the mating flange of the core when the joint and the core are assembled together.

17. (Previously Amended) The gear motor according to claim 16, wherein the temporary fixation means includes at least one clipping lug connected to one of the wall and on the joint, the clipping lug including a substantially J-shaped element that snap fits around the outer periphery of the mating flange on the core.

18. (Previously Amended) The gear motor according to claim 17 further comprising:

an access orifice in the lug to provide means for removing the temporary fixation means.

19. (Previously Amended) The gear motor according to claim 13 further comprising:

cooperating fixation means of the joint to the core motor and stop means for angular positioning of the joint in relation to the core motor around an axis of the core motor.

20. (Previously Amended) The gear motor according to claim 12, wherein one of the core and the base have at least one cylindrical sector, the joint having an opening able to receive the cylindrical sector and at least one stop projecting into the opening.

21. (Previously Amended) The gear motor according to claim 12, wherein the joint sealing material comprises a plastic material.

22. (Previously Amended) A manufacturing process of a gear motor comprising the steps of:

connecting a joint to one of a core and a base by temporary fixation means;

positioning the joint between the core and the base; and  
fixing the joint, the core, and the base by definitive fixation means, such that electrical current conducts between the core and the base through at least one metal element associated with the joint.

23. (Previously Added) A motor vehicle wiper gear motor manufactured by the process according to claim 22 comprising:

a core motor attached to a reduction gear base, the core and base having metal mating flanges with outer peripheries protruding away from the core and the base, respectively; and

a joint interposed between the core and the base, the joint including a sealing material and at least one metal element in contact with metal parts of the core and the base, the at least one metal element operable to conduct electrical current between the core and the base.